**Language Model Hallucination Detection** ([Overleaf](https://www.overleaf.com/read/ntbdjvbspkvs#be1c79))

### **Overview**

#### **1.1. Problem Statement**

Language models (LMs) frequently produce outputs that are syntactically convincing but factually incorrect or ungrounded in reliable sources. This phenomenon, referred to as **hallucination**, presents significant challenges in ensuring the reliability, trustworthiness, and practical adoption of AI systems in critical areas such as healthcare, education, and policy-making. The focus is on tracking AI-generated outputs, evaluating their **groundedness**, and ensuring their **faithfulness** to reliable sources to distinguish between real data and synthetic outputs.

#### **1.2. Key Terms**

* **Hallucination:** Outputs generated by a language model that are factually inaccurate or ungrounded in training data or real-world knowledge.
* **Groundedness:** The extent to which an AI's outputs can be traced back to reliable and credible sources.
* **Faithfulness:** The alignment between generated content and the source data it is derived from.
* **Synthetic Language Data:** Text created by AI models rather than written by humans.
* **Traceability:** The ability to track the origin of an AI-generated text and verify its authenticity.

1. **Challenges**

* **Defining and Quantifying Hallucination:**Lack of universally accepted definitions and metrics for hallucination leads to inconsistencies in evaluation and comparison of methods.
* **Detection and Attribution of Hallucination:**
  + 1. Differentiating between true and synthetic data, especially in large-scale corpora, is challenging.
    2. Language models often blend accurate facts with hallucinations, making detection harder.
* **Evaluation Benchmarks:**Current benchmarks focus on synthetic tasks but fail to generalize to real-world use cases or specialized domains like healthcare or law.
* **Scalability and Real-Time Analysis:**Scaling hallucination detection methods to handle the output of state-of-the-art models in real time is computationally intensive.
* **Lack of Grounded Data:**Models trained on noisy or biased data inherit these flaws, exacerbating hallucination problems.
* **Ethical and Legal Concerns:**Misidentifying or misattributing content as hallucinated can have legal and reputational implications.

**3. Evaluation Metrics and Benchmarks**

**3.1. Metrics:**

* **Precision and Recall:** Measuring how accurately hallucinations are detected.
* **Faithfulness Scores:** E.g., QAGS (Question-Answering Faithfulness Score), where outputs are evaluated against a reference document.
* **Factual Consistency:** Evaluated via human annotations or automated tools like TruthfulQA or FactCC.
* **Groundedness Metrics:** Assess the extent to which generated content is anchored in reliable sources.

**3.2. Benchmarks:**

* **TruthfulQA:** Focused on evaluating truthfulness in language models.
* **FEVER (Fact Extraction and Verification):** A dataset designed to evaluate fact-checking models.
* **REALM:** Retrieval-Augmented Language Model benchmark that measures groundedness.
* **XSum Faithfulness Dataset:** Targets hallucinations in summarization tasks.
* **SITUATEDQA:** An open-retrieval QA dataset where systems must produce the correct answer to a question given the temporal or geographical context.
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| Method | Usage Context | Performance | Source Code | Commercial Use | Maintenance |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [**TruthfulQA: Measuring How Models Mimic Human Falsehoods**](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.07958)  **2022** | A benchmark with a focus on identifying when models mimic human falsehoods and GPT-judge (LM QA).  The fine-tuned models should be used as a metric for TruthfulQA only and are not expected to generalize to new questions. | ~90-95% validation accuracy across all model classes but highly specific for truth evaluation (education, policy-making, and customer support systems) | [Github](https://github.com/sylinrl/TruthfulQA)  [Colab](https://colab.research.google.com/github/sylinrl/TruthfulQA/blob/main/TruthfulQA-demo.ipynb#scrollTo=gNDsfAA5gZfL) | Apache-2.0 license | Actively maintained (January 2025) |
| [**REALM**](https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/guu20a.html?ref=https://githubhelp.com)  [**ORQA**](https://arxiv.org/pdf/1906.00300) | Combine masked language models with a differentiable retriever. | -Only explored open-domain extractive question answering | [REALM GitHub](https://github.com/google-research/language/blob/master/language/realm/README.md)  [ORQA GitHub](https://github.com/google-research/language/tree/master/language/orqa) | [Apache-2.0 license](https://github.com/google-research/language/tree/master#) |  |
| [**Retrieval Augmented Generation for Knowledge Intensive NLP Tasks**](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.11401)  **2020** | A method designed to enhance the knowledge retrieval capabilities of LMs for tasks requiring high factual accuracy (knowledge-intensive QA and fact-based summarization).  **General-purpose** fine-tuning  -pre-trained **parametric memory** (BART seq2seq model)  **-non-parametric memory** (Wikipedia). | +SOTA performance on Open-Domain QA tasks; grounded outputs via retrieval.  -Computationally expensive and dependent of retrieval corpus quality.  -<https://aclanthology.org/2021.emnlp-main.586/> | [HuggingFace](https://huggingface.co/facebook/rag-token-nq) | Apache-2.0 license | Well maintained (March 2024) |
| [**Retrieval Augmentation Reduces Hallucination in Conversation**](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.07567)  **2021** | Various types of architectures with multiple components – retrievers, rankers, and encoder-decoders – with the goal of maximizing knowledgeability while retaining conversational ability. | +SOTA on two knowledge-grounded conversational tasks | [Parl.ai](https://parl.ai/projects/hallucination/) | [MIT license](https://github.com/facebookresearch/ParlAI#MIT-1-ov-file) |  |
| [**On Faithfulness and Factuality in Abstractive Summarization**](https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.00661)  **2020** | Introduces new evaluation frameworks and datasets.  -Pretrained models are better summarizers in terms of being faithful and factual (both ROUGE & humans). | +Textual entailment measures better correlate with faithfulness than standard metrics.  -High hallucination rates in existing models -> costly manual evaluations. | [Github](https://github.com/google-research-datasets/xsum_hallucination_annotations) | Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode)). | 5 years ago |
| [**SITUATEDQA: Incorporating Extra-Linguistic Contexts into QA**](https://aclanthology.org/2021.emnlp-main.586.pdf)  **2021** | An open-retrieval QA dataset where systems must produce the correct answer to a question given the temporal or geographical context. A significant proportion of information seeking questions have context-dependent answers (e.g., roughly 16.5% of NQ-Open). | +Answers to the same question may change depending on the extra-linguistic contexts  +Existing models struggle with producing answers that are frequently updated or from uncommon locations. | [GitHub](https://situatedqa.github.io/) |  | 4 years ago |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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